

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AGENDAS PROGRAMME

PLUS MODULE

Competition Documentation

**FOR APPLICANTS APPLYING FOR FUNDING FOR THE SECOND STAGE OF THE TEAMING
COMPETITION (HORIZON 2020)**

COMPETITION NO. 7/2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. DEFINITIONS	5
III. IRAP PLUS MODULE IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURE	10
IV. GENERAL TERMS OF COMPETITION.....	13
4.1. Applicants	13
4.2. Thematic scope of the projects	13
4.3. Amount of funds allocated to the project implementation in IRAP PLUS module	13
4.4. IRAP implementing unit	14
4.5. Partnership in the project without the grant of state aid	19
4.6. Project implementation indicators	20
4.7. Reporting	21
4.8. Changes to the project	22
V. PROJECT STAFF.....	22
5.1. Individuals conducting R&D work at the IRAP implementing unit in part 1.....	22
5.2. Individuals conducting R&D work at the IRAP implementing unit in part 2.....	25
VI. FINANCIAL TERMS.....	30
VII. APPLICATION FILING DATES AND METHOD	34
7.1. Information about the competition.....	34
7.2. Time limits for filing applications.....	35
7.3. Application submission method	35
7.4. Parts 1 and 2 of the application	36
7.5. Part 3 of the application	41

VIII. IRAP PROJECT SELECTION SYSTEM	44
8.1. Formal evaluation of the application	44
8.2. Substantive evaluation of the application	44
8.3. Appeal procedure	47
IX. GRANT AGREEMENT	48
9.1. General Provisions.....	48
9.2. Signature of the Agreement	48
X. CONTACT	50
XI. APPENDICES TO THE COMPETITION DOCUMENTATION.....	50

I. Introduction

- 1.1. The purpose of the International Research Agendas Programme (IRAP) is for high quality research and development works to be conducted by teams of prominent foreign and Polish scientists. The support should contribute to the creation of specialised, world-leading research units in Poland that would apply the best practices with respect to:
 - Identification of research programmes and topics;
 - HR policy and R&D works management;
 - Commercialisation of the results of R&D works.
- 1.2. The information contained in the present document sets out the rules for submitting applications and implementing projects under the International Research Agendas Programme, which is a grant programme of the Foundation for Polish Science, carried out from the funds of the European Regional Development Fund under the Smart Growth Operational Programme (SG OP), Priority Axis 4: Increasing the research potential, Measure 4.3: International Research Agendas, as regards an additional programme module called “PLUS”.
- 1.3. Participation in the competition in accordance with this Competition Documentation is limited to applicants who seek to implement an IRAP project at a unit supported as part of stage 2 of the Teaming competition held by the European Commission under the Horizon 2020 programme. Pursuant to the terms and conditions contained herein, funding will be granted to IRAP projects realised in Polish scientific units that will obtain co-financing from the EU for the realisation of the second stage of Teaming (with the reservation of point 9.1.3.).
- 1.4. The applicants referred to in subsection 1.3. may also submit applications under other competitions held as part of the International Research Agendas grant project in compliance with the Competition Documentation applicable to a particular competition.
- 1.5. Should source documents (e.g. the National Smart Specialisations document, the Guidelines published by the Managing Authority or the terms of project implementation under the Teaming programme (Horizon 2020)) be updated so that the modifications affect the competition conditions and the grant agreement or certain appendices to this Competition

Documentation, the Foundation shall notify the applicants of the extent of such changes on an ongoing basis.

- 1.6. Unless stipulated otherwise, all the dates specified in the Competition Documentation referring to the obligations of applicants or grantees are the dates of delivery of the documents to the Foundation for Polish Science, not the dates of posting. With respect to time limits expressed in days, a day is deemed to be a calendar day. If the end of a time limit falls on a public holiday or on a Saturday, the business day immediately following will be deemed to be the last day of the time limit concerned.

II. Definitions

For the purposes of this Documentation, the terms listed below have the following meanings:

- 2.1. Project budget** – a financial plan of the project, including the categories of eligible costs broken down into reporting periods.
- 2.2. PhD student** – a person with the status of a student of 3rd degree studies who participates in the IRAP project under the supervision of a research team leader.
- 2.3. Foundation** – the Foundation for Polish Science (FNP).
- 2.4. Intermediate Body (IB)** – an entity to whom the fulfilment of tasks under the Smart Growth Operational Programme has been entrusted under an agreement or contract concluded with the Managing Authority. For Priority Axis 4 of the Smart Growth Operational Programme: National Centre for Research and Development.
- 2.5. Managing Authority (MA)** – an institution or public entity responsible for the management of the Smart Growth Operational Programme, i.e.: the Minister competent for regional development matters (in accordance with Article 9 Item 1 of the Implementation Act).
- 2.6. IRAP implementing unit (IRAP unit)** – a research unit in which the project funded as part of the competition is implemented and which meets the requirements set out herein. The IRAP implementing unit has to fulfil the definition of a research unit (below).
- 2.7. Research units** – as defined in Article 2 Item 9 of the Act on the Principles of Financing Science of 30 April 2010 (Journal of Laws No. 96, item 615, pursuant to Journal of Laws of 2014, item 1620, of 2015, item 249), conducting scientific research or development work on a continuous basis:

- a. basic organisational units of higher education institutions within the meaning of the Charters of such higher education institutions;
- b. research units of the Polish Academy of Sciences, as defined in the Act on the Polish Academy of Sciences of 30 April 2010 (Journal of Laws No. 96, item 619, as amended.2);
- c. research institutes, as defined in the Act on Research Institutes of 30 April 2010 (Journal of Laws No. 96, item 618, as amended.3);
- d. international scientific institutes established pursuant to separate regulations, operating within the territory of the Republic of Poland;
- e. the Polish Academy of Arts and Sciences;
- f. other organisational units not listed in points a. to e. above, which have their registered offices in the Republic of Poland, being research and knowledge-dissemination organisations as defined in Article 2(83) of Commission Regulation (EU) No. 651/2014 of 17 June 2014 declaring certain categories of aid compatible with the internal market in the application of Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty (OJ L 187 of 26.6.2014, p. 1).

2.8. IRAP Unit Manager (Project Manager) – The IRAP Unit Manager and Manager of part 2 of the Project shall be an outstanding scientist selected during the implementation of part 1 of the project by the ISC and approved by the FNP, or any subsequent IRAP Unit Manager selected by the ISC in compliance with the terms hereof.

- a. From the start of project implementation to the start of the implementation of its part 2, i.e. until the scientist selected by the ISC assumes his or her duties as IRAP Unit Manager, the IRAP Unit Manager and Manager of part 1 of the Project shall be the Scientific Affairs Director, i.e. a Polish or foreign scientist who has submitted a competition application in compliance with this Competition Documentation.
- b. The Manager of part 2 shall become the IRAP Unit Manager and Project Manager upon the commencement of part 2 of the project, even if part 1 has not been concluded and is being implemented according to the schedule simultaneously with part 2.

2.9. Search Committee – a group of at least 3 people who engage in an active search for candidates for the IRAP Unit Manager competition during the implementation of part 1 of the project. Members of the International Scientific Committee should not sit on the Search Committee.

2.10. National Smart Specialisation (NSS) – a document specifying the thematic scope to be financed within the competition, available on the website of the Ministry of Economy (the institution responsible for the NSS) and also on the website of the Foundation. The binding version is the one that is valid on the day proposals are invited for the IRAP programme.

2.11. Research Team/ Group Leader – researcher acting as the leader/manager of the research group at the IRAP Implementing Unit.

2.12. International Research Agenda (IRA) – a research programme that determines a clearly defined scientific challenge (a research problem) of a scientific or social and economic nature (matching the scope of the National Smart Specialisations) and the method of work and approach to dealing with said problem, and which provides a substantive basis for the existence of the IRAP Implementing Unit. In addition to the precisely defined research problem, the research agenda should be characterised by an innovative and competitive approach to solving it, which should guarantee the IRAP Implementing Unit the position of a global leader among units dealing with similar research problems.

- a.** An **Outline of the International Research Agenda** shall include a research plan for part 1 of the project and an outline of the research plan (research area) to be executed during part 2 of the project.
- b.** The **Complete International Research Agenda** for part 2 of the project shall be presented by the candidate for the Manager of part 2 of the project during the ISC competition procedure.

2.13. International Scientific Committee (ISC) – an international body composed of distinguished representatives of science and also, where justified by the need to facilitate attainment of the project objective, entrepreneurs who have experience in cooperating with scientists in research and development works, or entrepreneurs experienced in the implementation of new technologies. Representatives of science must account for at least one half of the ISC members and be globally recognized experts in the field represented by the IRA.

2.14. Junior postdoctoral researcher – a person that has held a doctoral (PhD) degree for no longer than 5 years (the beginning of the period is the year the degree was awarded and the end – the year applications were submitted in the competition for the position of a postdoctoral fellow in the research group at the IRAP unit), who is engaged in the project implementation under the supervision of the research team leader.

2.15. Project implementation period – the period specified in the agreement that is required to fulfil the tasks and obtain the results specified in the application for funding, compliant with the time limits specified in the Competition Documentation.

- a.** The project implementation period shall include:

1. **Part 1** – a period of R&D work not exceeding 18 months, which shall be used to prepare for the implementation of tasks in research groups in part 2 of the IRAP project or to develop measurement or research methods which will be applied during the implementation of part 2 of the project, e.g. to use the equipment made available by the Polish partner unit (e.g. Core facility).
 2. **Part 2** – a period not exceeding 5 years for the implementation of the research tasks described in the complete research agenda, commencing on the day when the Unit Manager selected by the ISC and approved by the FNP assumes office.
- b. Following the commencement of part 2, the research tasks planned to be executed in part 1 shall not be continued beyond the end of the implementation period of part 1 of the project specified in the application.
 - c. Parts 1 and 2 may partially overlap where the Unit Manager selected by the ISC and approved by FNP assumes office before the end of implementation of part 1 of the project as specified in the application.
- 2.16. Reporting period** – the period after which the IRAP implementing unit is required to submit a financial or progress report. The reporting periods that are binding in the International Research Agendas Programme are maximum semi-annual periods for financial reports and annual periods for progress reports. The grant agreement shall specify the start and end dates of reporting periods and the dates for submitting periodic reports.
- 2.17. IRAP Project** – An undertaking aiming at implementing the research described in the application for funding (part 1) and in the complete International Research Agenda (part 2) and at attaining a set objective defined by indicators, with a specified start and end of implementation, co-financed under the IRA programme.
- 2.18. Enterprise** – an entity carrying out business activity, regardless of its legal status or method of financing that activity.
- 2.19. Cost Eligibility Guide** – Cost Eligibility Guide for Measure 4.3. of the Smart Growth Operational Programme, constituting Appendix No. 1 to this Competition Documentation.
- 2.20. Strategic Foreign Partner Unit** – A foreign unit or an international organisation (public or private), identified in the application for funding, which conducts research in a continuous manner, is involved in the IRAP Project, and has the obligations defined in the Competition Documentation (e.g. cooperating in preparing the research agenda, appointing representatives to the Search Committee and the ISC).

- 2.21. Student** – a person with the status of a student of 1st or 2nd degree studies or an equivalent programme, participating in the IRAP project.
- 2.22. Durability of the project results** – as defined in Article 71 of Regulation (EU) No. 1303/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013.
- 2.23. Grant Agreement (Agreement)** – an agreement entered into between the Foundation and the IRAP implementing unit regarding the implementation of the IRAP project.
- 2.24. Application for funding** (so-called application or grant application) – under the International Research Agendas Programme, an application consists of an application form filled out on-line and all the required attachments (in electronic versions) specified in the Competition Documentation.
- 2.25. Applicants** – Two scientists (who hold at least a doctoral degree) shall submit an application under the IRAP Plus competition:
- a. **First Applicant** – Candidate for the position of ISC President – an outstanding scientist from Poland or abroad, who will act as the **President of the ISC** in the IRAP Unit.
 - b. **Second Applicant – Scientific Affairs Director** – a scientist with extensive experience in conducting research and managing science, responsible for the implementation of part 1 of the project and for coordinating the tasks related to the attainment of the objectives of part 2 of the project at the IRAP implementing unit. In the period of implementation of Part 2 of the project the second applicant may continue the research work which shall serve the purpose stated in point 2.15 a. 1.
- 2.26. Project indicators** – indicators determined prior to the commencement of the project in order to monitor the project and evaluate its completion with respect to predetermined objectives, specified herein.
- 2.27. Eligible expenses** – costs or expenses incurred in connection with the implementation of a project within the International Research Agendas Programme that are eligible for settlement or reimbursement pursuant to the Grant Agreement, as specified in the Cost Eligibility Guide.
- 2.28. Guidelines** – a legal instrument that determines uniform conditions and procedures for the implementation of Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund, addressed to institutions participating in carrying out operational programmes and applied by these institutions pursuant to relevant agreements, territorial contracts or contracts, and by beneficiaries (grantees) under the Grant Agreement or the project funding decision.

III. IRAP PLUS module implementation procedure

3.1. The purpose of the IRAP PLUS

The IRAP PLUS module is intended to facilitate the acquisition of funding by applicants under the IRA programme, and to enable the recruitment of a suitable candidate for IRAP Unit Manager during the implementation of part 1 of the project, i.e. in a situation where the IRAP unit formally exists and has funds available for project implementation.

3.2. Two parts of the Project

A project implemented as part of the IRAP PLUS module shall consist of two parts:

- 3.2.1. The first part of the project (Part 1) consists of a competition for the position of the IRAP Unit Manager and the manager of part 2 of the project, as well as of R&D work conducted as preparation for the implementation of part 2 of the IRAP project or in order to develop measurement or research methods to be applied during the implementation of the complete research agenda, e.g. to use the equipment made available by the Polish partner unit.
- 3.2.2. The second part of the project (Part 2) consists in the implementation of the international research agenda under the direction of the IRAP Unit Manager selected in the competition.

3.3. Project implementation period

- 3.3.1. The project may be launched no earlier than on 1 March 2018.
- 3.3.2. The project duration, from the commencement of part 1 to the completion of part 2, is planned to be 60 months, which is the period covered by the application for funding. The project implementation period may be extended on the terms set out in the Competition Documentation.
- 3.3.3. The duration of part 1 shall not exceed 18 months. Following the completion of part 1 of the project, the unit shall submit a progress report and a financial report to the FNP and shall settle the funds provided for part 1 of the project.
- 3.3.4. Part 2 of the project may commence prior to the completion of part 1.

3.4. Amount of funding

- 3.4.1. The total amount of funding earmarked for research in part 1 of the project should not exceed **PLN 1.5 million**.
- 3.4.2. The total amount of funding earmarked for the implementation of parts 1 and 2 of the project should not exceed **PLN 45 million**.

- 3.4.3. The first tranche of funding for part 2 of the project shall only be transferred to the IRAP Unit after the IRAP Unit Manager selected by the ICS and approved by the FNP assumes office.
- 3.5.** During part 1 of the project, the IRAP Unit may use project funds to employ a maximum of 4 people performing the R&D work planned for this part of the project, including the Scientific Affairs Director, whose details the applicants shall include in the application for funding for the project, and optionally persons who are necessary to implement the Teaming project, subject to the regulations stipulated in point 5.1.3..
- 3.6.** During part 2 of the project the Scientific Affairs Director (within the joint part of the IRAP Unit budget) may perform research work with the same personnel as in part 1, under the condition that the aims of this work will be to support the implementation of part 2 of the project.
- 3.7. Competition for the Manager of part 2 of the project**
- 3.7.1. The research profile of a candidate for the manager of part 2 of the project should be comparable to that of candidates applying for management positions at similar centres of excellence at the best scientific centres or higher education institutions in the world.
- An IRAP Unit Manager candidate should be a global authority in his or her field, which is necessary to make working at the IRAP unit attractive to very good candidates from Poland and abroad.
- 3.7.2. The following rules shall apply to the announcement and execution of the competition for the IRAP Unit Manager held in part 1 of the project:
- The competition notice must be published in a manner that enables the information to reach all potential candidates globally, at least through the euraxess.eu website;
 - The Search Committee and the ISC shall be involved in seeking the most suitable candidate;
 - The Search Committee and the ISC shall hold talks with and encourage scientists whose accomplishments and profile match the requirements to take part in the competition;
 - The Search Committee shall also use the information provided by the FNP;

- e. The competition procedure shall comply with the European Charter for Researchers;
 - f. The requirements set for candidates shall be appropriate for the profile of an IRAP Unit Manager and manager of part 2 of the project.
 - g. Project Manager candidates shall submit the documents listed in Section 7.4. a. 1-7 of the Competition Documentation and the International Research Agenda – research plan for part 2 of project implementation.
 - h. The International Research Agenda prepared by the candidate shall include the work of 5-7 research groups during the period of implementation of part 2 of the project, and shall indicate which groups at which stage will cooperate with entrepreneurs.
 - i. Candidates shall prepare the Agenda using the form published by the FNP on the website of the programme;
 - j. The ISC shall make the selection in compliance with its competition rules. The competition procedure shall include a visit by the selected candidate to the project implementation location as a necessary component. The IRAP Unit shall notify the FNP well in advance of the planned visit by the candidate. The FNP may decide to delegate employees and third-party experts to take part in the meeting with the candidate;
 - k. No later than by the end of the twelfth month of implementation of part 1 of the project, the IRAP Unit shall provide the FNP with the documents concerning the candidate recommended by the ISC as IRAP Unit Manager.
 - l. The appointed candidate and their research agenda shall be evaluated by the FNP using the criteria set out in Appendix No. 2 to this Documentation. The FNP may ask experts in the field described in the research agenda submitted by the candidate for evaluation. Independently of the ISC, the FNP may recommend amendments to be introduced in accordance with the experts' opinions.
- 3.7.3. The Unit shall notify the candidate of the result of the recruitment procedure after being notified of the result of the FNP's evaluation.
- 3.7.4. Should the Unit fail to provide the FNP with the data of the candidate selected to become the IRAP Unit Manager within 12 months of project implementation, or should the candidate indicated fail to be approved by the FNP as a result of the evaluation, then, upon the IRAP Unit's request, the FNP shall have the right to extend the duration of the competition and select the Project Manager, but this additional period shall not extend beyond the 15th month of project implementation.

3.7.5. Should the selected candidate be approved by the FNP, the unit shall draft and provide the FNP with a report on the completed competition procedure, along with the data necessary to commence part 2 of the project, i.e.:

- a. a detailed budget of part 2 of the project;
- b. a statement from the IRAP Unit Manager and the Manager of part 2 of the project confirming that the project implementation complies with the grant agreement.

3.7.6. The Manager of part 2 of the Project shall assume office no later than by the first day of the 19th month of project implementation. Should he or she fail to assume office by this deadline, then the grant agreement shall be terminated and the unit shall be bound by the reporting obligations concerning part 1 of the project, the settlement of the funding provided, and safeguarding project durability.

3.7.7. The FNP shall provide funding for the execution of R&D work in part 2 of the project after the Manager of part 2 of the project assumes office.

IV. General terms of competition

4.1. Applicants

The application shall be submitted by two applicants. The application may be submitted by a citizen of Poland or of another country, also from outside the European Union. The first applicant shall act as the President of the International Scientific Committee of the IRAP implementing unit. The second applicant shall be hired as a Scientific Affairs Director and shall not work as a research group leader.

4.2. Thematic scope of the projects

The competition covered by this Competition Documentation within the International Research Agendas Programme involves the funding of projects compliant with the topics listed in the National Smart Specialisations list. The valid NSS list, published on the website of the Ministry of Economy, is available on the Foundation's website.

4.3. Amount of funds allocated to the project implementation in IRAP PLUS module

PLN 180,000,000

4.4. IRAP implementing unit

The IRAP implementing unit shall be an institution whose primary statutory objective is to conduct research in accordance with the Research Agenda adopted. The unit must enjoy full discretion, as stipulated in the statutes, allowing it to fulfil the requirements described in this documentation, including making the decisions on the method for selecting and evaluating the research group leaders (see 5.2.1.), developing internal regulations concerning the remuneration for employees, managing the research agenda.

Both the scientific challenge described in the application for funding and the full International Agenda as well as the proposed approaches to solving it must be interesting for the scientific community worldwide and for entrepreneurs and gain their recognition so that the results obtained in connection with the research could be published in the best magazines and presented at the most prestigious conferences and also that intellectual property developed could be properly commercialized and bring benefits to the IRAP unit. The research topics adopted in the unit should allow for its development beyond the period of funding within the IRA programme.

The IRAP unit must be located in one venue in which it has the adequate space for laboratory facilities and access to the necessary equipment. The objective of the IRA programme is to establish, among other things, an environment attracting top researchers, whose joint research work and interactions will result in significant scientific achievements. Therefore, the IRAP implementing unit should use such laboratory (and office) facilities which facilitate such interactions. Any suggested changes to the unit's premises put forward during the project implementation require the prior consent of the Foundation for Polish Science.

The IRAP unit must comply with the following criteria during the project implementation period and project durability period:

4.4.1. IRAP Implementing Unit Manager

- a. Until the commencement of part 2 of the project, i.e. until the time when the person selected by the ISC and approved by the FNP as IRAP Unit Manager assumes office, the second applicant shall act as the IRAP Unit Manager (Project Manager).

- b. During the implementation of part 2 of the IRAP project, the scientist selected by the ISC and approved by the FNP shall be the Project Manager and IRAP Unit Manager.
- c. Throughout the entire period of project funding and at least in the period of its durability, the manager of the IRAP implementing unit may only be a person who fulfils the criteria set out in the Competition Documentation. During the implementation of part 2 of the project, the IRAP Unit Manager shall also act as the research group leader. (For research group leader recruitment and employment rules, see sections 5.2.1. and 5.2.2.).
- d. The Unit Manager selected in the competition held by the ISC in part 1 of the project will be involved in implementing the IRAP project to the extent sufficient to implement the project. Full-time involvement is recommended, and in exceptional circumstances involvement at a level of less than full-time equivalent, but not less than 50% FTE, is permitted.
- e. If the IRAP Unit Manager selected in the ISC competition cannot perform the role until the end of his or her term in office, for whatever reason, another unit manager shall be selected from among the research group leaders working for the IRAP implementing unit, according to the internal regulations adopted by the unit (subject to item 4.4.1. c. above).
- f. During the IRA programme funding period, the IRAP implementing unit shall provide the FNP with information and documents on the individual indicated as the Unit Manager, in accordance with the list of documents required from the applicant at the first stage of the application (see Section 7.4. a. 1-7). The Foundation evaluates and consequently approves or rejects candidates for the new IRAP Unit Manager.
- g. Since the IRAP Unit Manager is also the IRAP project manager, approval by the FNP of the selection of any new manager in the course of the project is necessary for the IRAP project to continue.

4.4.2. Partnership with a strategic foreign partner unit

Ideally, a strategic foreign partner unit shall be a university, a research institute (whether public or private) or another unit conducting continuous R&D work, with a substantial track record of application research, and that meets the unit's top work organisation standards (situations where a centre with no wider recognition or a single organisational unit of a university is a partner should be avoided).

The partnership primarily involves joint implementation, in the IRAP implementing unit, of good practice regarding organizational culture, commercialization of the results of research work and conducting research, and delegation of representatives of the partner unit or

persons recommended by that unit to sit on the IRAP International Scientific Committee. Research cooperation is a complementary but not a sufficient contribution of a foreign partner.

The IRA programme does not provide for direct financing of the partner unit's activities. The potential division of intellectual property rights between the partner institutions must be included in the agreement between them, which shall specify its calculation method based on the contribution and interests of both parties.

Any changes to the partner unit during the project implementation and durability period shall be approved by the FNP.

4.4.3. International Scientific Committee

One of the bodies of the IRAP implementing unit is the International Scientific Committee, whose members are researchers with globally recognized achievements, working in the research field to be developed by the IRAP and, if justified by the need to facilitate achievement of the project objectives, entrepreneurs with experience of cooperating with researchers on research and development work, or entrepreneurs with substantial experience of implementing new technologies.

During the project implementation period, the IRAP implementing unit will enable participation in ISC meetings for the Foundation's representatives and notify the Foundation of changes to the ISC membership list upon the end of its term of office, or in the course of it as the case may be.

It is necessary to comply with the following criteria for the ISC's composition:

1. The International Scientific Committee is composed of at least 9 members.
2. At least half of the ISC members must be researchers and at least half of the ISC members must be employed by foreign units conducting scientific research.
3. Members of the International Scientific Committee are appointed for terms of office not longer than 5 years. Upon expiry of the ISC term of office, no more than one half of its members may be appointed for another term. Each ISC member may perform his/her function for no more than two terms.

Responsibilities of the International Scientific Committee include:

1. Regular review of the Agenda and introduction of changes as necessary, e.g. on account of advance in global scientific research in a given domain. A review will be conducted by the ISC at least every 4 years.
2. Evaluation of the work of all research group leaders and their teams. The ISC conducts an evaluation at least every 4 years.
3. Giving opinions on the hiring decisions of the IRAP implementing unit concerning independent researchers or winners of the European Research Council competition (see section 5.2.4., 5.2.7.).
4. Announcing and executing the competition for the IRAP Unit Manager held in part 1 of the project.
5. Announcing and holding the competitions for research group leaders to work for the IRAP implementing unit, including as the manager of the IRAP implementing unit through:
 - a) approval of the competition regulations and criteria prepared by the unit,
 - b) approval of the scope of criteria for the manager or leader,
 - c) evaluation of submissions and issue of recommendations for hiring the best candidate(s).

4.4.4. Legal form allowing granting of the required authority to ISC

Requirements set for the IRAP implementing unit can be met by e.g. a foundation established under the Act on Foundations whose statutory aim is to conduct scientific research. The foundation's statute will set forth its activities and the responsibilities of its governing bodies, and method of cooperating with foreign partner units, as well as ensure the durability of the adopted objectives and competence. An example foundation statute complying with the terms of the competition can be downloaded from the FNP website.

An IRAP implementing unit adopting a legal form other than a foundation is also required to meet all the criteria of this Competition Documentation. In addition, if it has legal personality, prior to signing the grant agreement it must conclude an agreement with a foreign strategic partner unit that specifies the method of cooperation in IRAP implementation. If the IRAP implementing unit is to be a unit operating under an international agreement (e.g. a joint unit of a Polish and a foreign institution), both institutions defined by the law, the Polish and the foreign, must conclude an international

agreement stipulating the method for its establishing and operation in accordance with the terms of the Competition Documentation.

The applicant may use expert opinion on the various legal forms of entities, made available by the Foundation for Polish Science on the programme website. Where the assumptions of the expert opinion differ from the assumptions of the competition as stated in this Competition Documentation, the rules stipulated in the Competition Documentation take precedence.

4.4.5. Cooperation with a Polish partner institution

The IRAP Unit may collaborate with a Polish unit to jointly pursue the objective of the programme. Collaboration with a Polish unit is not formally required, but the participation of a Polish unit with specialist equipment, interested in collaboration e.g. as regards the education of PhD students, is recommended. Moreover, such collaboration may facilitate project implementation and durability for the IRAP Unit.

4.4.6. Commercialization Council (optional)

It is recommended that the IRAP implementing unit establishes cooperation with an advisory body composed of representatives of business entities. The role of that advisory body is to give opinions on the IRAP unit's R&D activities from the perspective of the project results business application.

4.4.7. Access to infrastructure

The applicants should obtain access to research infrastructure, such as rooms and the necessary equipment, for the IRA implementation. Where necessary, the cost of room rental and use of equipment may be covered by IRAP funding in accordance with the Cost Eligibility Guide for Measure 4.3. of SGOP.

4.4.8. Regular evaluation of all research group leaders and their teams

At least every 4 years research group leaders must undergo an evaluation carried out by the International Scientific Committee. Upon completion of the evaluation, the Scientific Committee provides the Manager of the IRAP unit with a recommendation on their future employment – renewal or non-renewal of employment in the IRAP unit.

4.4.9. Cooperation with entrepreneurs

During the first 18 months from the launch of the project, the IRAP unit must establish cooperation with at least one entrepreneur. At the end of project implementation, at least half of the research groups operating at the IRAP implementing unit should cooperate with entrepreneurs. The cooperation may involve e.g. joint implementation of research tasks in the project, consultations on commercial application of the research results, or joint education for young R&D sector staff.

The partnership must be based on an agreement or memorandum of understanding signed between the entrepreneur and the IRAP implementing unit, to specify the terms of cooperation and rules for its funding, contributions of the respective partners and division, if any, of intellectual property rights (if the entrepreneur makes a contribution to the project it must be estimated). Agreements with the enterprises will be monitored by the FNP.

The IRAP implementing unit may not provide state aid to entrepreneurs.

4.5. Partnership in the project without the grant of state aid

4.5.1. The International Research Agendas programme does not provide for the granting of state aid to business entities.

4.5.2. Cooperation between the IRAP implementing unit and entrepreneurs must meet one of the conditions below:

- a. the enterprises bear all their respective costs of the cooperation project, or
- b. results of the cooperation which do not give rise to intellectual property rights are disseminated and any intellectual property rights resulting from the funded project are fully owned by the IRAP implementing unit, or
- c. any intellectual property rights resulting from the project, as well as related access rights, are allocated to the different cooperating partners in a manner which duly reflects their work packages, contributions and respective interests, or
- d. the IRAP implementing unit receives compensation equivalent to the market price for the intellectual property rights generated by their activities which are allocated to the participating enterprises, or to which participating enterprises are allocated access rights. The absolute amount of the value of any contribution, both financial and non-financial, by the participating enterprises to the costs of the IRAP unit's activities that produced the

intellectual property rights concerned, may be deducted from that compensation; the compensation received is equivalent to the market price if it enables the IRAP implementing unit concerned to enjoy the full economic benefit of those rights if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

1. the amount of compensation is established in an open, transparent and non-discriminatory competitive sale procedure, or
2. an independent expert's appraisal confirms that the amount of compensation is at least equal to the market price, or
3. the IRAP implementing unit, as the seller, may demonstrate that it effectively negotiated the compensation under fully competitive conditions in order to obtain the maximum economic benefit when the contract is concluded, while considering its statutory objectives, or
4. in cases where the cooperation agreement provides the cooperating enterprise with the right of first refusal as regards intellectual property rights generated by the cooperating IRAP unit, where that unit exercises a reciprocal right to solicit more economically advantageous offers from third parties, the cooperating enterprise has to adapt its offer accordingly.

4.6. Project implementation indicators

4.6.1. In part III of the application, applicants shall indicate the planned project implementation indicators, divided into parts 1 and 2 of the project, i.e. the expected project outputs and results. Indicators from the list below apply to the IRAP programme:

- a. Number of R&D work units completed
- b. Number of research units supported in the course of R&D work
- c. Number of cooperating foreign research units
- d. Number of persons conducting R&D work within the project
- e. Number of new researchers at the units supported
- f. Number of foreign researchers in the projects supported
- g. Number of international research publications included in the JCR (Journal Citation Reports), Thomson Reuters list,
- h. Number of patent applications filed.

4.6.2. The indicators must adequately reflect a given project type and the assumed project objectives. They will be reviewed by a panel of experts during the substantive (content-

based) review of the application, during project implementation and after its completion, and may be subject to changes suggested by the Foundation's experts.

4.7. Reporting

4.7.1. During the project implementation period, the IRAP implementing unit submits reports to the Foundation as stipulated in the grant agreement.

4.7.2. Following the end of part 1 of project implementation, the IRAP implementing unit shall submit a report on this part of project implementation, including details of the R&D work performed, the results obtained and the measurement and research methods developed, as well as a description of how these shall be made available to scientists, including scientists from other research units.

4.7.3. During the implementation of Part 2 of the project, the IRAP implementing unit submits itself to an interim assessment, performed by the Foundation at last twice.

a. the first interim assessment is conducted after the first 12 months following the Part 2 of the project launch date and focuses primarily on:

1. the method of implementing the organizational structure proposed in the application;
2. the implementation of good practice, e.g. with regard to recruitment of research staff;
3. cooperation with the foreign partner institution;
4. analysis of an evaluation of R&D personnel hired during the Part 1 of the project (if applicable).

b. The second interim assessment is conducted after 36 months following the Part 2 of the project launch date and focuses primarily on:

1. evaluation of the research excellence of team leaders, team members and other staff, including the number of new teams at the IRAP implementing unit;
2. leaders' active involvement in applying for ERC or equally prestigious grants;
3. establishment of cooperation with entrepreneurs;
4. the level of internationality and development of R&D personnel for the needs of an innovative economy.

4.7.4. During the second interim assessment, the Foundation will inform of the conditions for applying for additional funding, or extension of the project cost eligibility period. A

precondition for granting the application will be a positive interim assessment result and recommendation by the committee conducting the assessment.

4.8. Changes to the project

- 4.8.1.** Changes to the project that may affect the result of the project evaluation during the competition procedure at the first, second or third stage of the competition, require the Foundation's approval each time, on pain of annulment of the agreement. This applies in particular to changes in the statute of the IRAP implementing unit.
- 4.8.2.** During the project implementation, the manager of the IRAP implementing unit may, regardless of the method of project extension resulting from the interim assessment, apply for an increase in the funding pool (project budget) or project extension. The Foundation shall determine the terms of project extension, which shall not exceed 31 December 2023.
- 4.8.3.** The application for an increase in the project funding level may be submitted in particular in relation to:
- a. initiation of another stage of research work to produce the results of the project, so as to potentially increase feasibility of its implementation,
 - b. the need to increase the number of undergraduates or PhD students, or their remuneration as compared to the original application,
 - c. establishment of a new partnership within the project.

Granting of the applications will depend on experts' evaluation and availability of funding for that purpose in the programme.

V. Project Staff

5.1. Individuals conducting R&D work at the IRAP implementing unit in part 1

- 5.1.1. In part 1 of project implementation, no more than 3 people identified in the application for funding shall perform R&D work under the supervision of the Scientific Affairs Director and optionally, persons indicated in the Teaming application (see item 5.1.3. and subsequent).
- 5.1.2. The R&D work mentioned above shall serve either as the preparation for the research work in part 2 of the IRAP project or as the support of the implementation of tasks in IRAP research groups. Any changes to the personnel list require approval in line with the procedure for introducing changes to the application for funding.
- 5.1.3. Moreover, during the implementation of Part 1 of the Project, the manager of the IRAP unit may request the consent of the Foundation to employ at the IRAP unit and fund the remuneration and the R&D work costs of the persons necessary to prepare the research agenda planned in the Teaming application, if the following conditions are met:
 1. The persons get a positive opinion of the ISC and
 2. The persons will be indicated in the Teaming application.
- 5.1.4. Moreover, the IRAP unit is obliged to fulfill the following conditions:
 1. The possibility of employment in course of such a procedure, without a competition does not apply to the Manager of the IRAP unit.
 2. The research group leaders, whose employment is necessary in relation to the implementation of the Teaming project may be employed at the IRAP unit only, if they get a positive opinion from the ISC, and if after three years from the commencement of the project their number will be lower than the number of research group leaders employed following the regulations given in point 3.7. and 5.2.
 3. The remuneration of the persons employed following such a procedure and persons employed in potential research teams of leaders employed following such a procedure must not be funded with the IRAP project funds after Part 1 of the project ends.
 4. The IRAP unit is obliged to deliver to the Foundation information about persons indicated in the Teaming application together with the information about the tasks the persons are to perform at the unit.
 5. The IRAP unit is obliged to perform the evaluation of the employees hired during Part 1 of the project in line with the regulations stipulated in point 5.1.5.
- 5.1.5. Researchers employed at the IRAP unit before the commencement of part 2 of the project

- a) within 12 months following the commencement of part 2 of the project date, all R&D staff **other than technical staff and persons indicated in the IRAP project application for funding** should be evaluated by the ISC, and based on the evaluation results either positive or negative decisions should be made as to the continuation of their employment at the IRA unit.
- b) The employment of any staff at the IRA implementing unit who fail to pass the evaluation should be terminated at the end of the third year of project implementation at the latest.
- c) Research group leaders who pass the evaluation process may continue leading their teams at the IRAP implementing unit together with their teams (along with students and PhD students).
- d) The remaining R&D staff employed at the unit prior to the launch of Part 2 of the project and who have received a positive recommendation may remain at the unit provided that they join a research team that has passed the evaluation process or has been newly set up following a competition.
- e) Three years after the IRAP project launch date, the number of research groups set up after the start of Part 2 of the project must not be smaller than the number of groups set up during Part 1 of the project.
- f) Compliance with the requirements specified in letters a-e (above) will be verified by the Foundation's experts during the first or second interim assessment, respectively.
- g) Any persons employed at the unit who fail to pass the ISC's evaluation may only reapply for a job at that unit after a three-year break. If that person was formerly employed as a research group leader, a break in employment at the IRA implementing unit of least five years is required.
- h) Students and PhD students are not subject to ISC evaluation and may apply for a position in any of the research groups at the unit.
- i) The employee evaluation process referred to in points a-b above, must comply with the following conditions:

1. The evaluation is conducted by the International Scientific Committee according to its established and adopted criteria. The International Scientific Committee may decide to appoint external experts/reviewers to assess the achievements and work of a research group or individual employees.
2. A report on the entire evaluation process along with the ISC's recommendations as regards further employment of research groups or individual employees shall be provided by the IRAP implementing unit to the Foundation prior to the deadline for submitting the first interim report. The materials obtained in the evaluation process should be made available to the Foundation's experts during the interim assessment.
3. The Foundation may accept the evaluation report without reservations or advise that further opinions be sought, and recommend other decisions concerning further employment of individual members of the unit's staff.
4. The IRAP unit will allow the Foundation's representatives and its experts to participate in the evaluation of the IRAP unit's research groups and staff.
5. The IRAP unit will provide the Foundation with the evaluation schedule and a list of the employees to be subject to evaluation at least 6 months prior to the evaluation process.

5.2. Individuals conducting R&D work at the IRAP implementing unit in part 2

5.2.1. Research group leaders

- a. Funding under the IRAP project may only be granted to research group leaders selected in an international competition conducted by the ISC, compliant with the requirements specified in the Competition Documentation.
- b. Research group leaders will be selected in an open international competition by the International Scientific Committee, according to the rules on employment of researchers provided in the *European Charter for Researchers and the Code of Conduct for Recruitment* (downloadable from the Foundation's website) and according to the rules provided for in this Competition Documentation.

- c. The competition procedure must be open to all interested parties, use transparent criteria and follow the principle of equal treatment.
- d. The following rules apply to the competition for research group leaders:
 - 1. the competition is announced publicly so that it is available to all interested parties that meet the criteria;
 - 2. the information about the competition includes, in particular, a description of the position, financial conditions (including the amount of the leader's remuneration and term of employment, information about the rules and financing possibilities for the research group members and the funds allocated to running the team or guaranteed to set up a team, ("start-up package")),
 - 3. the ISC conducts the recruitment process on the basis of its own criteria, however at least one of the criteria should be the candidate's scientific research achievements to date as well as his/her competence level, which should be a level characteristic of ERC winners.
- e. In addition, in the course of IRAP project implementation:
 - 1. the IRAP implementing unit will provide the Foundation, with sufficient notice, with details about the planned method of announcing and conducting the competition, and the anticipated dates of interviews with the candidates,
 - 2. the IRAP implementing unit will enable the Foundation's representatives to participate as observers in the recruitment process,
 - 3. the IRAP implementing unit documents the recruitment process in a report (the report contains at least the list of candidates, names of the units they come from, scores received by the candidates during the recruitment, and details about competition notices, selection criteria and competition dates),
 - 4. upon completion of the recruitment process, the IRAP implementing unit uploads a copy of the recruitment report in the Foundation's electronic system, retaining the original at the unit,
 - 5. upon approval by the Foundation, the IRAP implementing unit hires the research group leader selected in the competition.
- f. The IRAP implementing unit enters the details of the new research group leader whose team will be funded under the project in the electronic system provided by the Foundation, along with an indication of the funds allocated in the budget to his/her research group.

- g. All the research group leaders hired at the IRAP unit must be selected in competitions as described above, except for the winners of European Research Council competitions, although their hiring requires opinions from the ISC (see Section 5.2.7.)

5.2.2. Terms of employment of research group leaders

- a. All research group leaders at the IRAP implementing unit, regardless of the source of funding, must fulfil the following conditions:
 - 1. The IRAP implementing unit must be indicated as the primary employer for each person employed as the research group leader within the meaning of regulations that may affect the receipt of funding for statutory activities.
 - 2. Research group leaders, with the exception of the IRAP Unit Manager selected in part 1 of project implementation, must be employed by the IRAP implementing unit on a full-time basis. Remuneration of the research group leader may be fully or partially funded from another source, e.g. another grant project. However, all research outcomes, publications, patent applications and patents obtained from the IRAP project implementation must be allocated to the IRAP implementing unit.
 - 3. If the leader and his/her team receive remuneration from another grant project or from a source other than the IRAP programme, then the topics of research tasks implemented by that leader and his/her team must be within the scope of the Research Agenda implemented at the IRAP unit.
 - 4. The research group leader may carry out teaching activities as part of their work for the IRAP unit, however the teaching load may not exceed 60 hours per semester.

5.2.3. Research group members

- a. The research group leader recruits undergraduate and PhD students, junior postdoctoral researchers and other staff for his/her team.

No later than 12 months from the hiring of the research group leader, his/her team must comprise at least 3 persons conducting R&D work.

- b. Members of the research team: undergraduate and PhD students or junior postdoctoral researchers are selected by the leader in an open competition based on transparent criteria, in accordance with the following rules:

1. the competition is announced publicly (at least on the EURAXESS website – this does not apply to students) and should indicate the candidacy submission method and criteria to be fulfilled by the candidates, as well as the expected amount of the stipend or remuneration,
 2. the research group leader appoints a committee composed of at least two members to be responsible for the recruitment process,
 3. the committee prepares and conducts the recruitment process on the basis of its own criteria, however at least one of the criteria should refer to the candidate's scientific research achievements to date,
 4. the committee documents the recruitment process in a report,
(the report contains at least the list of candidates, names of units they come from, scores received by the candidates during the recruitment, and details about competition notices, selection criteria and competition dates),
 5. upon completion of the recruitment process, the research group leader uploads a copy of the recruitment report to the Foundation's electronic system, retaining the original at the unit,
 6. a precondition for funding the scholarship or an employment contract for the person selected in the competition under the IRAP project is approval of the recruitment report by the Foundation. In the case of scholarship agreements, the Foundation must also be provided with a certificate confirming the status of an student (or PhD student) or a copy of his/her Polish student visa.
- c. The unit and the research group leader will allow the Foundation's representatives to participate as observers in the work of the competition committee.
- d. Undergraduate students selected in the competition receive personal scholarships. PhD students may become team members on the basis of either a scholarship or an employment contract. The amounts of stipends are proposed by the project manager in consultation with the team leader. Junior postdoctoral researchers recruited to work in the research team may collect remuneration under the employment contract, of the amount proposed by the project manager in consultation with the team leader.
- e. PhD students conducting R&D work supervised by the research group leader should have another research mentor, if possible from a foreign partner unit.

- f. The stipends will be treated as financial support for project participants and paid by the Foundation only, directly into the individual bank accounts of the stipendees on the basis of separate agreements concluded with them. The stipendees may not receive remuneration from the project funds while receiving a stipend.
- g. Stipends are exempt from personal income tax under Article 21(1)(137) of the Personal Income Tax Act of 26 July 1991 (Journal of Laws of 2012, item 361, as amended).

5.2.4. Independent Researchers (Visiting Researchers)

- a. During the implementation of part 2 of the IRAP project, the IRAP implementing unit may hire independent researchers implementing short research projects supporting the work of IRAP teams outside the competition procedure applicable to research group leaders, for a maximum period of 6 months.
- b. The hiring of an independent researcher is subject to ISC opinion requested by the manager of the IRAP implementing unit.
- c. A given scientist may only be employed as an independent researcher once.
- d. Scientists employed in this way are not research group leaders. Their employment may be related to e.g. implementation of a specific research task or expert cooperation with one or several research groups operating at the IRAP unit.
- e. Remuneration of the independent researcher may be funded from the IRAP project funds, according to the *Cost Eligibility Guide*.
- f. The IRAP implementing unit enters information about the hired independent researcher in the Foundation's electronic system.
- g. Implementation of the independent researcher's task may be funded from the IRAP project. To that end, the unit enters details of allocated funds in the appropriate budget form available in the Foundation's electronic system.

5.2.5. Technical staff

Technical staff are employed by the manager of the IRAP implementing unit and their remuneration is paid from the funds allocated to the joint part of the IRAP implementing unit's budget.

5.2.6. Senior postdoctoral researchers

Senior postdoctoral researchers may be hired by the IRAP implementing unit upon request by the manager of the IRAP implementing unit. Employment in this category must be related to the unit's need to acquire vital R&D competences, e.g. to operate advanced research equipment or a specific technology. The hiring of a senior postdoctoral researcher at the unit requires the Foundation's approval. Remuneration of those employees is paid from the funds allocated to the joint part of the IRAP implementing unit's budget.

5.2.7. Winners of European Research Council grants

Winners of ERC grants may be hired as research group leaders at the IRAP implementing unit by the manager of the unit without the requirement for the competition referred to in section 5.2.1. for the implementation period of the grant project funded under the ERC programme. In this case the manager of the unit seeks the opinion of the ISC.

NOTE: The Manager of the Unit or another research staff member receiving remuneration for Project work who launches another project (funded from any national or foreign source) that requires professional time to be dedicated, is required to notify the Foundation accordingly within 30 days of launching that new project.

VI. Financial terms

- 6.1.** Full list of eligible expenses and rules of documenting eligible expenses in the International Research Agendas programme are specified in the *Cost Eligibility Guide*, appended to the Competition Documentation.
- 6.2.** Tasks covered by the Project may not be funded from other public sources, save for expenses that cannot be classified as eligible as part of the funding awarded under the IRAP programme (according to the Cost Eligibility Guide) and expenses exceeding the amount of funding awarded.
- 6.3.** The total professional involvement of each person receiving remuneration or a stipend under the project in the implementation of all projects financed from structural funds and the Cohesion Fund and in any activities funded from other sources, including the unit's or other

entities' own means, may not exceed 276 hours per month. Where an employee's total workload of 276 hours per month is exceeded, his/her remuneration paid from the Project funds shall represent ineligible expenditure for each month in which the level of 276 hours is exceeded.

6.4. The following cost categories will be covered by the International Research Agendas programme:

DIRECT COSTS:

W – Remuneration - This category covers the cost of remuneration, including non-salary employment costs such as social and health insurance contributions of persons employed for research (the Scientific Affairs Director, research staff, technical staff and other auxiliary staff) or technology brokers in the part that such remuneration is directly related to the implementation of the project covered by the grant.

NOTE: Where a staff member is working on the Project on a part-time basis at the IRAP implementing unit, the remuneration received for the Project depends on the amount of time, calculated as a share of full-time equivalent, dedicated to the performance of tasks related to implementation of the Project at the Unit, and may not exceed the same percentage share of the suggested remuneration as specified below.

Suggested remuneration rates:

1. Remuneration for project manager in part 2, remuneration for research group leaders under the employment contract (remuneration and non-salary employment costs including social and health insurance contributions) of a proposed amount of up to PLN 27,000 per month when working full-time for the project.
2. Remuneration of other personnel involved in R&D works in the project, to the extent that it is directly related to implementation of the project.
3. Remuneration for PhD students and junior postdoctoral researchers, if they are to be remunerated under the employment contract (remuneration and non-salary employment costs including social and health insurance contribution), should not exceed the proposed amount of PLN 8,000 per month for PhD students and PLN 15,000 per month for junior postdoctoral researchers - where project work is performed on a full-time basis.

E – Cost of subcontracting – subcontracting certain substantive project work to a third party, where such work is not conducted on the premises and under direct supervision of the beneficiary, and the cost of resources provided by third parties (i.e. cost of all-encompassing laboratory

rental, along with research equipment) (auxiliary activities which are required to implement project tasks, such as legal or accounting services are not considered as subcontracting) and all mandate contracts.

Subcontracting costs may not exceed 50 % of all eligible project costs.

The application should describe which activities are planned to be commissioned to subcontractors and briefly justify their necessity. This condition applies to subcontracting regardless of the form of the contract concluded for that purpose.

C – Research staff development costs (Cross-financing) – this category includes the following eligible costs related to the development of research staff:

1. Stipends
 - a. Proposed stipend rates should not exceed:
 - b. for students up to M.A./M.Sc. degrees: PLN 1,500–2,500 per month
 - c. for PhD students: PLN 3,500–4,500 per month
2. Study visits (by stipendees or research team members within the scope related to the research work conducted as part of the project, the commercialization of research results and state-of-the-art technology achievements or project management).
3. Training (for stipendees or research team members within the scope related to the research work conducted as part of the project, the commercialization of research results and state-of-the-art technology achievements or project management, including the cost of summer schools for PhD students).

The costs in this category (C) may not exceed 30% of all eligible project costs.

Op – Other direct costs

1. Costs of research and scientific equipment and intangible assets.

Including:

 - a. expenditure on the purchase of low-cost research and scientific equipment, funds allocated to expenses from this category may not exceed 5% of all eligible costs of the project.
2. Cost of land and buildings

3. Other operating expenses

Including:

- a. Project promotion costs (up to 1% of all eligible expenses in the project)
- b. Rental of laboratory space

O –Costs settled using a simplified method – lump sum calculated in line with the methodology given in the Cost Eligibility Guide equal to the percentage part of the costs settled in given categories.

6.5. NOTE:

- 6.5.1.** If the IRAP implementing unit is asked to refund the expenses classified as direct costs, and this results in the total of costs settled using simplified method exceeding the stated percentage limit of direct costs, then the IRAP implementing unit will be required to refund such an amount of funding from costs settled using simplified method that the above limit is retained.
- 6.5.2.** In the case of cost proposals exceeding the values proposed above, or inclusion in the application of costs related to purchasing tangible assets, the applicant will be required to provide the relevant justification during interviews with the interdisciplinary panel at Foundation's main office or at the project implementation site.
- 6.5.3.** Expenses eligible for financing are expenses which meet the conditions included in the Competition Documentation, including those specified in its appendices, in particular in the agreement and the *Cost Eligibility Guide*.
- 6.5.4.** The budget allocated to the IRAP implementing unit will be divided into a joint part (e.g. purchase of equipment, rental, technical staff's remuneration, costs of the project implemented by the Scientific Affairs Director) and budgets for projects of individual research groups or independent researchers.
- 6.5.5.** The joint part of the IRAP unit's costs is paid in tranches in accordance with the plan provided in an appendix to the grant agreement. The first tranche of the research group project or independent researcher project costs is paid upon hiring the suitable research group leader or that independent researcher.

6.6. Additional competition for research equipment funding:

During the project implementation, and no earlier than after completion of the research group leader competition procedure at the IRAP implementing unit, the unit may apply to the Foundation for funds to purchase specialist equipment. The competition will be held as follows:

1. applications will be filed upon request within the deadlines set by the Foundation for Polish Science,
2. the applications will be evaluated by dedicated experts appointed specifically for that purpose,
3. the evaluation will take into account such criteria as an analysis of the available equipment of a particular type in the country, using the available equipment lists and databases,
4. the purchase of specialist equipment will not be approved for projects implemented with the participation of a research unit which has received substantial funding for research and scientific equipment under the Innovative Economy Operational Programme (POIG) or the Infrastructure and Environment Operational Programme (POIŚ) with respect to research carried out under the IRAP project. The Foundation for Polish Science will consult the Intermediate Body for Axis 4 of the SG OP regarding applications for the purchase of specialist equipment submitted by such units, in particular to confirm whether substantial funding has been obtained and to identify any overlaps between the themes addressed in the IRAP project and the purposes for which equipment was purchased under the 2007-2013 Operational Programmes,
5. A representative of the National Centre for Research and Development will be invited to take part as an observer at the meeting of experts evaluating the applications for the funding of research equipment.

VII. Application filing dates and method

7.1. Information about the competition

The application selection procedure within the International Research Agendas Programme is not a competition as defined in the Act of 11 July 2014 on the Principles of Implementing Cohesion Policy Programmes Financed under the 2014-2020 Financial Perspective.

7.2. Time limits for filing applications

The IRAP PLUS programme application review process consists of three stages, and applications shall be filed so that parts 1 and 2 are filed simultaneously, while part 3 shall be submitted at a different date.

The first and the second part of the application should be filed no later than:

23 October 2017 at 4 pm (GMT + 1)

The third part of the application should be filed by Applicants who have been invited to submit it no later than:

19 January 2018 at 4 pm (GMT + 1)

The application assessment period lasts for approx. 4 months from receipt of the first part of the application for project funding until the selection of grantees.

7.3. Application submission method

Applicants submit all parts of their applications in an electronic format only via the electronic system.

- 7.3.1. Applicants register themselves in the electronic system provided by the Foundation at the following address: <https://wnioski.fnp.org.pl>. The electronic form should be filled out **in English** according to the application form instructions provided in the system and on the programme website, and attachments should be filled out **in the language indicated (see section 7.4. and 7.5. below)**. The electronic system allows the online form to be modified and attachments replaced before final approval of the submitted part of the application, which takes place by closing the form.
- 7.3.2. Applicants for funding specify the launch and completion date for the project, bearing in mind that the project implementation period is the same as the eligibility period for expenses.
- 7.3.3. Certain attachments must be filled out on the forms prepared for this purpose, which can be downloaded from the IRAP programme website and from the electronic system for the

submission of applications. Such attachments are marked as appropriate in section 7.4. and 7.5.. Submission of attachments incompliant with these forms, (i.e. with modified title page, incomplete texts, with data or graphics other than required) may result in rejection of the application at the formal evaluation stage.

7.3.4. An Applicant submitting false statements will be excluded from the possibility of applying for any form of financing from the Foundation for 5 years from the date of submission of such statements.

7.3.5. The following attachments which should meet the following requirements should be enclosed with the application form in electronic format :

- **pdf format,**
- **A4 page format,**
- **font size no smaller than 11 pts,**
- **line spacing no smaller than 1.15,**
- **margins no smaller than 2 cm,**
- **when a list of achievements or publications is being attached, these must date back to the period specified in 7.4. a. 2. (publications failing to meet this requirement will be removed at the formal evaluation stage),**
- **retention of the number of pages specified in the description of the attachment - pages beyond the set limit will be removed at the formal evaluation stage.**

7.4. Parts 1 and 2 of the application

Part 1 of the application is evaluated by the international research and economic panel and part 2 by reviewers. Both parts are submitted electronically and they include the following elements:

a. Documents on the applicant (in English),

The documents listed below in items 1-7 shall be attached separately for each applicant. The documents marked as 8 and 9 apply to the first and second applicant, respectively.

1. brief CV (**up to 3 pages**),
2. no more than 10 key research and/or implementation achievements of the applicant from the last 10 years (a single achievement is e.g. full text of one publication, text of a

patent with an indication what area the patent covers and its title in English, description of a completed implementation, etc.).

NOTE: the 10-year period covers achievements in the years 2007-2017.

3. description of unique features of the applicant's achievements referred to in point (2) above, obtained in the years 2007-2017, and their influence on the development of a given scientific field or market, **(up to 3 pages)**,
 4. list of key projects for which the applicant was granted funding in the years 2007-2017, indicating the institution providing the funding and its amount – **(up to 2 pages)**, **(as per the form)**
 5. list of key lectures or conference speeches delivered by the applicant in the years 2007-2017 at the invitation of hosts, indicating the names of the hosts **(up to 2 pages)**, **(as per the form)**
 6. description of research management experience (e.g. administration of research units, sitting on research councils, management of international research organizations or international research consortia) **(up to 2 pages)**,
 7. description of research-industry cooperation experience and of successful implementations **(up to 2 pages)**.
 8. **The following only applies to the first applicant who seeks to become the President of the International Scientific Committee:** A cover letter describing how the applicant is involved in the IRAP project and the strategy for the selection of the best candidate for the position of Unit Manager **(up to 3 pages)**.
 9. **The following only applies to the second applicant who applies for the position of Scientific Affairs Director:** A cover letter describing the role to be played by the applicant at the IRAP unit **(up to 2 pages)**
- b. A list of no more than 3 additional individuals, but a minimum of 1 individual, who will conduct R&D work in part 1 of the project under the direction of the Scientific Affairs Director **(as per the form)**.
 - c. An outline of the international research agenda, including a description of the economic or socio-economic impact and probable applications of the results of the IRAP project implementation **(cf. Section 2.12.). (in English) (as per the form)**.

The document should consist of two parts:

1. **A description of the theme or problem**, which will provide the basis for the design of the International Research Agenda and information on how the results obtained may translate into the country's economic and social development (**up to 5 pages**).
 2. A description of the R&D work planned to be conducted in part 1 of the project, i.e. within 18 months. The description should include information on the type of work conducted as preparation for the implementation of the complete IRA (e.g. intended to develop measurement and research methods, the start-up of research equipment, preliminary research, etc.) and information on how the obtained results of the work may influence the country's economic and social development (**up to 3 pages**).
- d. Letter of intent from a strategic partner unit – foreign strategic partner for the project (English).

The letter of intent should contain at least:

1. exact name of the partner unit,
2. declaration that the partner unit will perform the role of a foreign strategic partner unit in the IRAP project at least throughout the 1 and 2 part of the project funding period,
3. declaration that the partner unit understands and accepts the terms of the competition and requirements for the IRAP project implementation, in particular the requirements to be met by the IRAP project implementation unit and the foreign strategic partner,
4. description of the method of involvement and substantive contribution of the partner unit in the establishment and management of the IRAP project implementation unit,
5. description of the partner unit's contribution to the acquisition of the most appropriate and experienced candidates to work for the IRAP,
6. description of the partner unit's contribution to the evaluation of the research and achievement of the project's objectives,
7. details of two persons that the partner unit proposes as future members of the International Scientific Committee for its first term of office.

The letter should be signed by a person authorized to represent the foreign partner unit, and a scan of it should be enclosed as a .pdf file. The letter should be accompanied by an authorization for the person to take binding decisions on behalf of the institution, or explanation (in English) why that authorization is not necessary (e.g. if the letter is signed by the manager of the unit, i.e. director/president, etc.). The Foundation reserves the right to verify the authorization of the individual signing the letter by directly contacting the foreign

unit at the formal evaluation stage. Submission of letters signed by unauthorized persons will result in rejection of the application at the formal evaluation stage.

NOTE: The Applicant may submit no more than **three** letters of intent from foreign partner units as only strategic partnership in the project is subject to evaluation. The IRAP implementing unit may also be involved in research cooperation with any number of entities that it deems fit, which is however outside the scope of the competition.

- e. Description of the competence of the foreign partner unit(s) (up to 15 pages); the description should provide at least the following details:
- research and implementation achievements,
 - institutional culture,
 - good practices of organizing and conducting scientific research and evaluation of research groups' work.

If more than one foreign partner unit is identified in the application, the document “Overview of competence of foreign partner unit(s)” should be enclosed separately for each such unit (up to 15 pages each).

Details about a foreign strategic partner unit for which the overview of competence document was not enclosed will be removed from the application along with the corresponding letter of intent during formal evaluation of the second part of the application.

- f. A brief description of the IRAP implementing unit structure – the description should contain at least an unambiguous indication of its legal form and principles for managing the IRAP implementing unit (**up to 2 pages**) (in English),
- g. A letter of intent from the Polish research unit involved in project implementation (optionally, if the applicants plan for the IRAP Unit to engage in such collaboration) (in English); (**up to 4 pages**)

The letter of intent should contain at least:

1. Information on the formal and legal links between the Polish partner institution and the IRAP implementing unit;
as well as information concerning at least one of the following:
2. Information about the equipment that the partner unit will make available to the IRAP Unit;
3. A description of the areas and forms of research collaboration between the Polish partner institution and the IRAP implementing unit;

4. A description of the competences of the research groups working within the partner unit, which could collaborate with the research units of the IRAP Unit;
 5. The partner unit's motivation to create and/or collaborate with the IRAP Unit.
- h. A letter of intent from an economic partner in Poland or a foreign partner interested in cooperation with the IRAP unit or in using the planned results of the IRAP project – **optional** (in English);

NOTE: The Applicant may submit no more than three letters of intent from each group of key partner units referred to in subsections g. and h.. The IRAP implementing unit may also be involved in research cooperation with any number of entities that it deems fit, which is however outside the scope of the competition.

Any letters beyond the permitted three will be removed from the application.

NOTE: If the applicants are planning for the IRAP Unit to collaborate with scientific or economic partner institutions (referred to in subsections g. and h.), then the attached letter of intent should indicate the planned scope of that cooperation and should clearly define how the partner institution intends to become involved in the implementation of the project, e.g.:

1. involvement in establishing the IRAP implementing unit,
2. nomination of ISC members,
3. involvement of undergraduate or PhD students in the IRAP implementation,
4. ensuring research visits for undergraduate or PhD students
5. admission of candidates for PhD courses in a competition carried out by leaders of IRAP research groups,
6. provision of laboratory space,
7. and/or other type of cooperation in the project implementation.

The letters referred to in subsections g. and h. should be signed by persons authorized to represent the partner unit.

- i. Description of the place of IRAP implementation (information about the laboratory space acquired, equipment rental, if any, etc.) (in English) (**as per the form**),

7.5. Part 3 of the application

Part 3 of the application is submitted in electronic form before the meeting of the international interdisciplinary panel of experts

a. depending on the legal form selected:

1. the statute of the IRAP implementing unit or an equivalent document under which the unit's work is organised;

and,

2. the statute of the entity establishing the IRAP unit, if the IRAP unit is established within the organisational structure of a different entity

(all the documents are required in two language versions – Polish and English);

b. text of the international agreement (for each of the foreign strategic partner units):

1. that the IRAP implementing unit intends to conclude with the foreign partner unit (if the IRAP implementing unit has legal personality, i.e. is a foundation or a partnership/company).

or

2. pursuant to which the IRAP implementing unit is established. This type of agreement will be required e.g. if the IRAP implementing unit takes the form of a joint unit of a Polish and foreign higher education institution, and in similar cases.

The international agreement should guarantee the fulfilment of the foreign partner unit's obligations included in the Competition Documentation and the letter of intent it issued, submitted in the first part of the application.

The statute of the unit and the international agreement must ensure that the project will be implemented in compliance with this Competition Documentation and Polish laws. The statute and the international agreement will be reviewed, and their respective provisions may be subject to certain modifications before the grant agreement is signed.

The Applicant may use the sample statute of a foundation – IRAP implementing unit, available on the Foundation’s website.

- c. A description of the method adopted for holding the competition for the IRAP Unit Manager during the implementation of part 1 of the project (up to 5 pages) (in English)

The description should at least include:

1. Requirements for candidates;
2. The proposed method of announcing and promoting the competition for the IRAP Unit Manager,
3. A description of how the Search Committee and the ISC shall be involved in attracting suitable candidates;
4. The role of the Polish and foreign unit in searching for candidates;
5. The proposed terms of employment of the IRAP Unit Manager.

- d. A description of operating methods adopted for the IRAP implementing unit, covering primarily (up to 20 pages) (in English):

1. A project risk assessment (SWOT analysis),
2. A strategy for the acquisition of researchers, including education plans for young researchers and PhD students,
3. The policy on the commercialisation and management of intellectual property,
4. The information and promotion policy for the unit, including for activities to promote awareness of the role of science in Poland's socio-economic development,
5. The development plan of the unit and plan for fundraising from non-IRAP sources during the IRAP project funding period,
6. A list of members of the International Scientific Committee (a minimum of 9 persons, at least half of whom must have their primary place of employment outside of Poland), who have agreed to serve in this role (in English) (as per the form);

7. A list of members of the Search Committee (minimum 3 persons), who have agreed to serve in this role (in English) (as per the form);
- e. Budget – the required research costs, administration costs and other operating costs of IRAP should be indicated in the format provided in the electronic application form (spending must comply with the *Cost Eligibility Guide*, included as an appendix with this Competition Documentation) (in English).
 - f. A project implementation schedule for the project cost eligibility period, divided into parts 1 and 2 of project implementation – (as per the form) (in English) with details of:
 1. organization and administration activities
 2. the announcement and execution of the competition for the IRAP Unit Manager,
 3. announcement and conduct of research personnel recruitment,
 4. information and promotion actions for the IRAP project implemented,
 5. research-related activities (launch of subsequent R&D),
 6. evaluation of research group leaders,
 7. fundraising for statutory activities.
 - g. Development plan for the IRAP implementing unit after the funding period for the IRAP project (**up to 4 pages**) (in English), covering a long-term vision, primarily with respect to:
 1. development of the research agenda,
 2. cooperation with the economic sector,
 3. cooperation with the foreign unit,
 4. fundraising from non-IRAP sources.
 - h. Indicators – divided into parts 1 and 2 of project implementation, including a justification (as per the form) (in English)
 - i. Description of the project's impact on sustainable growth, in particular environmental protection (**up to 2 pages**) (in English).

The description should include details about the planned R&D work with respect to environmental protection or nature conservation, number of patents, publications, or media releases concerning environmental innovation.

VIII. IRAP project selection system

Projects submitted for the competition are evaluated in terms of formal and substantive (content-based evaluation) aspects. The list of evaluation criteria and their descriptions are included as an appendix to this Competition Documentation.

8.1. Formal evaluation of the application

- 8.1.1.** Applicants whose applications do not meet the formal criteria at any of the three application stages are notified (by email or electronic system) that the application will not be considered in the competition due to its formal deficiencies.
- 8.1.2.** It shall not be possible to re-submit documents with formal deficiencies except where removing obvious errors.
- 8.1.3.** If it is found that an electronic file which is an attachment to the application is damaged, the Foundation may request the applicant to re-submit it at any stage of the competition.

8.2. Substantive (content-based) evaluation of the application

Applications which have been formally approved are subsequently submitted for substantive (content-based) evaluation. The substantive (content-based) evaluation consists of three stages: evaluation by a scientific and economic panel, a written review process and interviews with an interdisciplinary panel of experts. The Foundation intends to engage only foreign experts for the evaluation of applications. In the event that experts of Polish descent from Poland and from abroad are engaged, they should not constitute more than 50%.

8.2.1. Stage I. Scientific and Economic Panel

- a. The research and economic panel includes at least 3 members with an appropriate research background or experience of implementing innovative solutions in the R&D sector. The Foundation may appoint several panels in the competition for particular disciplines represented by the applications submitted.
- b. As a result of the Scientific and Economic panel's evaluation at least 50% of the applications that meet substantive (content-based) criteria of the first stage advance to the second stage of substantive (content-based) evaluation.
- c. Notification that the application has qualified for the second stage of the evaluation is sent by email.

- d. Applicants that are not invited to the second stage are notified of their scores and recommendations, including a brief justification.

8.2.2. Stage II. Written reviews

- a. Each application is reviewed by at least two external reviewers. The reviewers must not be members of the research and economic panel or of the Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts (third stage of the substantive (content-based) evaluation).
- b. Apart from the substantive (content-based) criteria, the reviewers may also suggest topics to be explained with the applicants during the third stage of the substantive (content-based) evaluation, and indicate which applications need special attention due to their high risk, exceptional economic potential, or a potential solution to an important social problem.
- c. Notification that the application has qualified for the second stage of the evaluation is sent by email. Applicants invited to the third stage of the competition receive anonymized reviews of their applications and are requested to submit the third part of the application before the deadline indicated in the letter with information that the application has qualified for the third evaluation stage. Reviews of all the candidates are available in an electronic system, providing anonymity of experts.

8.2.3. Stage 3 Interview with the Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts (IPE)

- a. The applications that advance to the third stage of the evaluation are those that received the highest scores, best recommendations, or those whose evaluations differ most.
- b. At the third stage, the Foundation reserves the right to request the applicants to provide any additional explanations and documents concerning the organizational form of the IRAP implementing unit. The option to obtain additional explanations is intended to enable the Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts to prepare comprehensively, or to visit the IPE-evaluated project implementation site. Submission of additional explanations and documents by the applicants is supplementary, and may not lead to any changes in the previously submitted application, and only concerns the competition criteria at that stage.
- c. The Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts will compare the applications and prepare their ranking list, as well as evaluate them on the basis of the criteria adopted (see Appendix 2).

- d. The Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts consists of at least three experts representing various research disciplines and one member of the Foundation's Board (who will chair the meeting but will not have the right to evaluate the application).
- e. The IPE will compare the candidates on the basis of the written reviews obtained at the previous stage and of a direct interview with the applicants, who can also present their opinions about the reviews.
- f. The interview with the Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts at the Foundation's headquarters (in particular the discussion of the project, written reviews and reviewers' comments) is complemented by verification of the details provided in the application (including the surface area allocated to project implementation) and submitted by the applicants in the course of the interview with the IPE held at the project implementation site. Verification during the visit to the project implementation site may also cover texts of agreements with major local partners, in particular on the provision of laboratory space. Experts other than those present at the interview conducted at the Foundation's headquarters may also take part in the visit.
- g. The foreign partner unit will ensure the participation of its representative at the interview with the interdisciplinary panel of experts (in person, by phone or by Skype). The representative of the partner unit participating in the interview with the panel of experts will present the binding position of the partner unit.
- h. A person representing the Polish partner research institution, if such a partnership is planned and if a letter of intent from that institution has been attached to the application, may take part in the interview with the Interdisciplinary Expert Panel (in person, by phone or by Skype). The representative of the partner unit participating in the interview with the panel of experts will present the binding position of the partner unit.
- i. The evaluation by the IPE (in particular at the project implementation site) may also be conducted with the participation of other representatives of research or economic project partners that are to be involved in the project implementation.

8.2.4. The Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts may recommend to the applicants changes to the project that are required to ensure proper IRAP project implementation. The changes may apply in particular to the project feasibility conditions in terms of the rules of operation of the IRAP implementing unit.

8.2.5. The IPE may task the Foundation with negotiating and supervising the introduction of the recommended changes.

- 8.2.6.** The Foundation reserves that any negotiations between the applicants and the Foundation, concerning in particular the project indicators or project budget, may continue even after completion of the third evaluation stage and before the grant agreement is signed.
- 8.2.7.** The Board of the Foundation decides to allocate funding to particular projects by adopting a resolution on the basis of the ranking list prepared by the panel and considering the funds available for a particular competition.
- 8.2.8.** The list of beneficiaries (grantees) is published on the Foundation's website.

8.3. Appeal procedure

- 8.3.1.** The Applicants are entitled to appeal in writing to the Board of the Foundation against decisions taken with regard to its application within 7 days of being notified of funding not being granted, or of rejection of the application before the next evaluation stage. The date of receipt of the appeal by the Foundation, rather than the stamp date, will be decisive, with the proviso that the appeal may also be lodged with the Foundation by fax (+48 22 845 95 05) or email to the following address: odwolania@fnp.org.pl . The appeal will be examined within 21 days of its receipt by the Foundation.
- 8.3.2.** Appeals concerning procedural matters at the stage of formal evaluation are examined by the Appeals Committee consisting of a lawyer and two of the Foundation's employees who were not involved in the evaluation of the application.
- 8.3.3.** Appeals concerning procedural matters at the substantive (content-based) evaluation stage are examined by a committee consisting of two external experts cooperating with the Foundation on a regular basis, who were not involved in the evaluation of applications, and an employee of the Foundation who was not involved in the evaluation of the application.
- 8.3.4.** The Appeals Committee exclusively reviews procedural matters relevant for each stage of the application evaluation and selection. The final opinion is adopted by a vote. Upon examination of the committee's opinion, the Foundation's Board decides whether the application should be re-examined (by the expert panel or a reviewer) or rejected. The applicants will be informed in writing of the decision. The decision of the Board is final.

IX. Grant agreement

9.1. General Provisions

- 9.1.1.** The grant agreement along with the application is the basis for the project manager's and IRAP unit's undertaking to implement the project.
- 9.1.2.** Payment of the funds pursuant to the grant agreement in the competition specified herein shall take place after the submission to the FPS of a valid agreement on financing of the second stage (phase 2) of the Teaming project by the EU, if pursuant to recommendation of the IPE or FPS the agreement for IRAP funding should be concluded before the European Commission resolves the Teaming competition.
- 9.1.3.** The agreement may be signed, and funds for part 1 or 2 of the project may be disbursed, under the grant agreement also as a result of an IPE recommendation and provided that the IPE's conditions concerning the project have been met, whether or not the unit has received funding for stage 2 in the Teaming competition (Horizon 2020).
- 9.1.4.** In cases referred to in subsections 9.1.2. and 9.1.3. above and if the Manager of part 2 of the project is not recruited, in line with the regulations and within the time limits laid out in this Competition Documentation, the financing of the project will be limited to the financing of only part 1 of it.

9.2. Signature of the Agreement

- 9.2.1.** Before concluding the grant agreement, the Foundation reserves the right to launch negotiations with the grant applicants in order to make necessary changes to the project resulting from the provisions of this Competition Documentation. The changes may cover primarily adaptation of the text of the statute, the international agreement and other documents organizing the unit's work to Polish and EU law and the Competition Documentation.
- 9.2.2.** Prior to signing a grant agreement, the IRAP implementing unit may be requested by the Foundation to submit:
- a survey for the applicant to be filled out for the purposes of verifying VAT eligibility in SGOP projects;

- b. a declaration that no state aid is awarded.

9.2.3. The grant agreement is concluded between the Foundation and the IRAP implementing unit.

In the situation where the IRAP unit is not a separate legal entity, the grant agreement is concluded between the Foundation, the project manager and the unit in the structure of which the IRAP unit has been created. The form of the agreement has been appended to the Competition Documentation and is available on the Foundation's website dedicated to the IRAP programme.

Managers of IRAP implementing units will receive the agreement by email and send two identical signed copies of the agreement, along with all the required documents (appendices), to the Foundation by mail by a deadline set by the Foundation. The Foundation sends back one signed copy of the agreement to the unit's address.

9.2.4. **Appendices to the Agreement include in particular:**

- a. Project budget;
- b. Project implementation schedule;
- c. tranche payment schedule;
- d. statute of the Unit;
- e. international agreement;
- f. declaration regarding VAT eligibility;
- g. a list of indicators along with the methodology of calculating product and direct output indicators;
- h. declaration of satisfaction of the conditions and implementation of the recommendations of the Interdisciplinary Panel of Experts;
- i. power of attorney (this appendix is required if the Agreement is executed by an individual(s) without statutory authorizations to represent the Unit).

During project implementation, the IRAP implementing unit may be requested by the Foundation to submit:

- a. a declaration of no arrears in the payment of state budget liabilities or social insurance and health insurance contributions,
- b. a document to confirm proper security for the agreement.

9.2.5. In addition to the appendices listed in section 9.2.4., before the agreement is signed, the Foundation may request access to other documents, including in particular:

1. a certificate confirming the award of a REGON (statistical) number to the Unit,
 2. a decision granting an NIP (tax identification) number to the Unit,
 3. a copy of an entry in the National Court Register or another relevant register.
- 9.2.6. The failure to submit all the required appendices and documents before the relevant deadlines may result in the Foundation's refusal to sign the agreement.
- 9.2.7. During project implementation, the IRAP implementing unit is required to use the Foundation's electronic system, e.g. for submitting reports, documenting research achievements or applying for changes to project budgets.

X. Contact

Additional information about the programme can be obtained by calling the following numbers:

Phone No. +48 22 845 95 46
 +48 22 845 95 32
 +48 22 311 84 30

or by email:

slominska@fnp.org.pl

motrenko@fnp.org.pl

pawlowska@fnp.org.pl

XI. Appendices to the Competition Documentation

1. Cost Eligibility Guide for Measure 4.3 Operational Programme Smart Growth.
2. Application evaluation criteria and overview of project selection criteria in the International Research Agendas programme – PLUS Module.
3. Model Grant Agreement in the International Research Agendas Programme.